Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/670,231	LIU, KAI-CHI SU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Darren W. Ark	3643
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Darren W. Ark</u> .	(3)	
(2) Bruce H. Troxell.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 August 2004</u>	Time: <u>11:30am EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 and 2		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior art of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
DARREN W. AFK PRIMARY EXAMINER		
Lanen Wall		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that there were minor changes to correct claim objections and 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph problems in the claims. In particular the term at page 4, lines 22 and 24 of the specification and also at Claim 1, lines 33 and 34 and also at Claim 2, line 3 describing the shape of the handle (42) was unclear since it appeared to either be an inverted "U" shape or an "n" shape. Examiner suggested amending the term such that it is recited as an "inverted U-shape". See Examiner's Amendment for details.